

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PAJENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO BOS 1450 Alexandra, Vugana 1200-(150 www.uptc.ex

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,484	08/30/2001	Harald Hundt	P99,0252-01	6191
<u>₄6574</u>	7590 05 28/2003			
SCHIFF HARDIN & WAITE 6600 SEARS TOWER 233 S WACKER DR			EXAMINER	
			MEEKS TIMOTHY HOWARD	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NÜMBER
			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/945,484	HUNDT, HARALD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Timothy H. Meeks	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by soon and any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)  Status	DN. R 1 136(a) In no event, however, may a in a reply within the statutory minimum of thin eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	doi Expanto Quayro, 1000 0.	2 ,			
4) Claım(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 August 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All_b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.				
2.					
application from the distributions of the application from the internations see the attached detailed Office action to	n Bureau (PCT Ruie 🗽 21a).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	5) Notice of	Summar, (PTO 415) Paper Nrits) informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademar+ Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/945,484

Art Unit: 1762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/242,590, filed on 19 February 1999. *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103* 

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 07-211530 in view of Humphrey et al. (5,201,956).

JP '530 discloses a process comprising depositing parylene polymers on a tape wound core from the vapor phase (English translation at pages 6-7). Tape of amorphous alloy is disclosed at page 9 of the English translation.

JP '530 is silent as to the specific parylene vapor phase coating method used and therefore fails to disclose deposition in a rotating drum under vacuum. However, because Humphrey discloses that such drum coating wherein parlyene dimer is vaporized, cracked to form monomer, and the monomer vapor introduced into the rotating drum, condensed on the

organisation of the contract o

simultaneously under vacuum (figures 1 and 2, col. 1, line 40 to col. 2, line 35), and as coating a plurality of the cores at once would have the obvious advantage of increased throughput, it

Application/Control Number: 09/945,484

Art Unit: 1762

would have been obvious to have used the drum coating process to coat parylene onto the tape wound cores to allow for increased process throughput.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP `530 in view Humphrey et al. as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of Hatch (4,960,050).

The teachings of the primary references are cited above. It is further noted that Humphrey discloses at col. 4, lines 60-68 that the dimer is vaporized at typical pressures used in the process and at temperatures of 80-200 C and at col. 5, lines 5-10 that the dimer is pyrolyzed at typical pressures used in the parylene process and temperatures of 650-750 C. The range of temperatures for these steps overlap with the ranges for temperature in claim 4. It would have been obvious to select the overlapping values in the ranges with a reasonable expectation of their being operable and successful. With respect to pressure, Hatch discloses at col. 6, lines 5-15 that the parylene dimer is generally vaporized at 0.1 to 1 Torr and pyrolyzed at a slightly lower pressure. Therefore, use of the claimed pressures for these steps would have been obvious with a reasonable expectation of their being operable and successful.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy H. Meeks whose telephone number is (703) 308-3816. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs.(6-6:30), Fri.(6:30-10:30).

Application/Control Number: 09/945,484 Page 4 Art Unit: 1762 Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762 nf May 27, 2003